



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,746	11/15/1999	NILO FAGIOLINI	32232-152197	7109

7590

09/05/2002

SPENCER & FRANK
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW
SUITE 300 EAST
WASHINGTON, DC 200053955

EXAMINER

VANOY, TIMOTHY C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/423,746	Nov. 15 1999	FAGIOLINI	32232-152197

EXAMINER	
Vanoy	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1754	18

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ms. Marina Schneller (3) _____
(2) Tim Vanoy (4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 30 2002

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 13

Identification of prior art discussed: AL.S. PAT. 6 171 567 B1

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ATTORNEY ARGUES THAT THE DISCLOSURES OF PGS. 3 & 4 IN THE SPEC. AND CLAIM 13 ARE CLEAR, AS EVINCED BY A SIMILAR DISCLOSURE SET FORTH IN U.S. PAT. 6 171 567 B1. EXM'R. EXPLAINED THAT THE REJECTIONS ARE MAINTAINED BECAUSE ONE CANNOT DETERMINE AN UNKNOWN VARIABLE WHEN IT IS DEFINED IN TERMS OF ITS SELF.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Tim Vanoy
Tim Vanoy
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1754